

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,358 07/06/2005		Serge Menard	0522-1009	1109	
. 466	7590 01/24/2006		EXAMINER		
YOUNG &	THOMPSON	SOTELO, JESUS D			
745 SOUTH 2	23RD STREET				
2ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22202			3617		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/541,	358	MENARD, SERGE					
		Examine	er	Art Unit					
		Jesús D	Sotelo	3617					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE VERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE M. asions of time may be available under the provisions in SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months at an advantage of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION vent, however, may a reply be timwill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	). the mailing date of this or (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
·	•		non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition	for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)∐	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form P1	Г <b>О-152</b> .				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internation	•							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
•	4.								
Attachmen	i(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Intensions Commerce	/DTO 442\					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P <sup>*</sup>	TO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or t r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/6/05</u> .	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	D-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 11-20 are in the application. Claims 1-10 have been canceled.

#### Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the arrangement of the doors claimed in claim 14; the reinforcement of claim 17; and the maneuvering means of claim 18; must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,358 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4. Claims 14 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The arrangement of doors described in claim 14 is not clear; the arrangement described in claim 16 is not clear. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- 5. Claims 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 15, line 2, there is no proper antecedent for "the two articulated parts".

6. Claim 20 provides for the use of a rescue vessel; but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e.,

Application/Control Number: 10/541,358 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kossa et al (3,934,530).

Kossa et al discloses a vessel including an elongated basin and ballast means 121-124 that make it possible to alter the vessel's draft and wherein the hull includes two lateral hulls 18, 19 that surround the basin and that limits the upper edge of the basin. The ballast means operate between two positions which includes one wherein the basin is evacuated and the upper edge of hulls 18, 19 are above sea level and a full position where the bottom of the basin is below the level of a floating vessel such that the floating vessel can be floated into the basin. Kossa et al teaches that the vessel can have any number of actual proportions but lists some of the dimensions as being around 200 meters long, around 24 meters wide and having a height around 9 meters. The actual dimensions of the vessel are deemed to have been obvious matters of design choice to

Art Unit: 3617

one skilled in the art. Kossa et al also discloses a door 100 that can close the rear of the basin.

9. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kossa et al (3,934,530) in view of Cushing et al (3,823,681).

Cushing et al discloses a vessel similar to that f Kossa et al and teaches providing port and starboard sides that have a height that is lower than that of the sides at the front and rear of the vessel. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the vessel f Kossa et al with lateral sides generally as taught by Cushing et al.

10. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kossa et al (3,934,530) in view of Ni (5,988,093).

Ni discloses a vessel similar to that of Kossa et al and teaches providing the same with transverse thrusters 5a. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the vessel of Kossa et al with transverse thrusters generally as taught by Ni. The use of transverse thrusters would have been desirable to provide better maneuverability to the vessel.

11. Claim 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kossa et al (3,934,530) in view of Burnett (3,133,518).

Burnett discloses a vessel similar to that of Kossa et al and teaches using the same for receiving the same by sending the vessel to the location where the vessel needs to be recovered; ballasting the vessel such that the bottom of the basin is below the keel of the vessel to be rescued; introducing the vessel into the basin; and removing the ballast such

Application/Control Number: 10/541,358 Page 6

Art Unit: 3617

that the upper edge of the basin is above sea level. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the vessel of Kossa et al for recovering a vessel generally as taught by Burnett.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 571-272-6686. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. – Fri. 5:30 AM – 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner 4
Art unit 3617

KNX 03D69 ©

jds January 19, 2006